RICHTMANN
PUBLISHING

Reviewing the Theoretical and Conceptual Frameworks in Criminology Research: *A Positivity & Normativity Perspective from an African Researcher*

Ronald Osei Mensah

Social Development Section, Centre for Languages and Liberal Studies,
Takoradi Technical University, P.O.BOX 256, Takoradi, Ghana;
Department of Sociology and Anthropology, Faculty of Social Sciences,
University of Cape Coast, PMB, Cape Coast, Ghana

Abstract

As it is characteristic of any societal phenomenon to be well understood by analyzing models, certain frameworks to explain the existence and implications of criminal behaviour have been contrived over the years. This paper, thus, offers a review pertaining to the crucial role of the relevant theoretical and conceptual frameworks in comprehending the multifaceted nature of crime, while unraveling the practical and policy response of the human-related phenomenon. By assessing the nature of pertinent frameworks of crime behavior, which this paper commences with, readers can gain a greater appreciation of how they provide structures for crime-related investigations and, more importantly, lenses for the interpretation of crime behavior. Moreover, the paper underscores the interdisciplinary nature of criminology research, showcasing how these frameworks draw from sociology, psychology, economics and law, to construct a holistic understanding of criminal behavior. Challenges and limitations in constructing these frameworks are acknowledged, including bias, ethical considerations, and the evolving landscape of the field. Accordingly, real-world case studies, historical examples, and visual aids are employed to illustrate the practical application of these frameworks, enhancing their potency in knowledge acquisition and in facilitating understanding. By analyzing theoretical perspectives, and structured frameworks of criminal behavior, the paper equally, pinpoints the corresponding policy implications of the phenomenon whose intricate landscape, according to the paper, have been and must continue to be navigated. These tools have been found to illuminate the motivations, societal pressures, and psychological mechanisms, that drives individuals towards or away from criminal acts.

Keywords: Case Studies; Conceptual Frameworks; Criminal Behavior; Criminology Research; Cultural Deviance; Sociology of Crime; Theoretical Frameworks

1. Introduction

Scholars, academics, and society at large, have long been enthralled by the study of criminal behaviour and its underlying causes. According to Jones (2013), a systematic approach to analyzing criminal acts is necessary due to their complex character; which involves a web of psychological, sociological, economic and environmental aspects. In order to successfully navigate the intricacies of criminology research, theoretical and conceptual frameworks have been found to be crucial.

Various theoretical stances are frequently the cornerstone of criminology study. In the study of

Nirvaan and Goel (2018), classic theories, like those put forth by Cesare Beccaria and Jeremy Bentham, provided the foundation for interpreting criminal behaviour as a logical calculation of costs and rewards. Contrarily, strain theories emphasise how societal institutions and inequities can influence people to engage in criminal behaviour. Robert K. Merton's work serves as an example of this. Social learning theories, which have their roots in Bandura and Walters (1977), contend that criminal behaviour is acquired through social interaction and role model observation. George Herbert Mead and Herbert Blumer's symbolic interactionism emphasises the importance of social labels and the formation of self-identity in influencing criminal behaviour.

Conceptual frameworks offer the structure necessary to examine the complex interactions between variables, whilst theoretical viewpoints offer overarching explanations for criminal behaviour. These frameworks help researchers recognise important variables, determine causal links, and comprehend the dynamic interactions between multiple components. Conceptual frameworks serve as the building blocks for gathering, analysing and interpreting data, making them the architects of research design. They guarantee methodologically-sound research inquiries, and enable the creation of testable hypotheses. Conceptual frameworks enlighten the way to unravelling the intricacies of criminal behaviour by detailing the range of variables, their hypothesised correlations, and potential mediating or moderating factors.

There is a task similar to reviewing the complex world of criminology, where the strands of social dynamics, human behaviour, and legal systems, are intertwined. Researchers have set out on an explorational trip to fully understand the intricacies of criminal behaviour and its underlying causes; one that even entails dismantling the complicated web of theoretical and conceptual frameworks. These frameworks act as our compass, guiding us through the maze-like labyrinths of criminology, and illuminating the complex dynamics that underlie crime and its many facets.

It is impossible to overestimate the importance of theoretical and conceptual frameworks in criminology research. These frameworks give one the conceptual foundation on which to build the knowledge of criminal behaviour in reference to Helfgott (2008). They serve as the road maps for any investigation of the complex motives, cultural influences, psychological triggers, and environmental elements, that drive criminal behaviour. Without these frameworks, trying to understand the mystique of criminal behaviour would be like bumbling around a maze without a map - a difficult and perplexing task. For academics and researchers, as well as for anyone looking for insights into the intricate world of crime, understanding these frameworks is crucial. The theoretical and conceptual frameworks serve as the cornerstone on which to base one's knowledge, whether the goal is to develop successful strategies for crime prevention, to comprehend offending pattern across many countries, or to investigate the causes of recidivism. Imenda (2014)'s statement, that "both the theoretical and conceptual frameworks give life to research," is therefore not surprising. It may be concluded that any piece of study is worthless if neither the theoretical nor conceptual frameworks are there, just as a human cannot survive without blood and water in the body (Mensah, Agyemang, Acquah, Babah, Dontoh, 2020).

On a quest to review the pertinent theoretical and conceptual frameworks, from a positivity and normativity perspective, in criminology research, the current essay explores the significance of these frameworks as crucial instruments for understanding criminal behaviour, for emphasising their function in giving structure to inquiries, for directing the creation of research questions, and for providing a basis for data analysis. In fact, the paper sheds light on how academics negotiate the complexity of criminology by examining the interaction of theoretical viewpoints and the creation of complete conceptual frameworks. The article peels back the theoretical tapestries, and reveals the underlying threads that link criminal behaviour to its roots; exposing the complexity's many layers, and illuminating the labyrinth existing between frameworks.

1.1 Concise Assessment of the Meaning of Conceptual Framework to Researchers

A conceptual framework, according to Camp (2001), is a framework that the researcher thinks best explains the development of the phenomenon under study. It is related to the ideas put out by the researcher to support and organise his or her knowledge (Peshkin, 2003). It is a description of how the research problem would be investigated by the researcher. A conceptual framework, sometimes known as a "theoretical framework," was defined by Maxwell (2013) as a speculative theory about the phenomenon being examined; that guides every aspect of the study's design. A conceptual framework offers a comprehensive approach to viewing a subject under investigation (Liehr and Smith, 2009). A conceptual framework expresses the relationship between a study's key concepts from a statistical point of view. It is organised logically to help give a picture or visual display of how ideas in a study relate to one another, according to Grant and Osanloo (2014). Simply expressed, a conceptual framework is a diagrammatic representation of the relationships between the ideas that inform the investigation. It is intriguing because it demonstrates the sequence of procedures that the researcher plans to use in a research study (Dixon, Gulliver, Gibbon, and Hall, 2001).

According to Luse, Mennecke, and Townsend (2012), a framework makes it simpler for the researcher to clearly describe and specify the concepts contained in the study's challenge. According to Miles and Huberman (2014), conceptual frameworks can represent the main variables, or constructs to be researched, and the presumptive relationships between them in a graphical or narrative format. According to the present study's definition, a conceptual framework is the comprehensive, sound positioning and associations of all things that make up the underlying assumptions, structures, plans, practices and implementation of a whole research endeavour. In actuality, one's ideas on selecting the research topic, the issue to be investigated, the questions to be asked, the literature to be reviewed, or the concepts to be applied, the methodology to be used, the methods, procedures, and instruments, the data analysis and interpretation of findings, the recommendations and conclusions you will make, are all included in a conceptual framework.

Now that the meaning of conceptual framework has been thoroughly and critically discussed, it is important to, also, understand the meaning of theoretical framework.

1.2 Concise Reviewing of the meaning of Theoretical Framework to Researchers

According to Grant and Osanloo (2014), a theoretical framework serves as the 'blueprint' or 'direction' for research. It is a framework built on an established theory in an area of research that is relevant to and/or represents the study's hypothesis. It is a design that the researcher frequently "borrows" in order to construct his or her own research enquiry. In other words, a theoretical framework acts as the cornerstone on which research is built. A theoretical foundation can support a qualitative research methodology (Collins and Stockton, 2018). According to Anfara and Mertz (2015), a theoretical framework is any empirical or quasi-empirical theory of social and/or psychological processes that may be used in the understanding of the phenomenon. These theories can be applied at several levels (for instance, grand, mid-range, and explanatory). In this respect, it may be clearly said that a research project lacking a solid theoretical framework is akin to a structure lacking a solid foundation. The function of a theoretical framework is compared, by Fulton and Krainovich-Miller (2010), to that of a road map or travel itinerary. Thus, the map directs your route when heading to a specific location. Similar to this, a theoretical framework directs the researcher to ensure that his or her final contribution is scholarly and academic; by preventing deviation from the parameters of the approved theories. Therefore, according to Brondizio, Leemans, and Solecki (2014), a theoretical framework is the specific theory or theories concerning a certain area of human endeavour that can be beneficial to the analysis of occurrences. At this point, it can be seen that a theoretical framework consists of the theories put out by professionals in the area of study that one intends to investigate. It is important to draw on these theories to create a theoretical framework for data analysis and result interpretation. To put it another way, a theoretical framework is a framework that organises ideas

and hypotheses that one develops from empirical research and published knowledge, and then synthesise to give a theoretical background or foundation for data analysis and interpretation of the meaning in an individual's research data.

1.3 Positivity Viewpoint (Part 1)

1.3.1 Definition of Key Terms in the Context of Criminology Research

Understanding fundamental terminology that serves as the foundation of the investigation is essential before delving into the complex world of criminology research. Below is an explanation of "theoretical framework" and "conceptual framework", as they pertain to criminology study;

The conceptual framework or lens that academics use to examine and analyse the field of criminology is denoted by the theoretical framework of criminology. It consists of a collection of related theories, notions, and rules, that serve as a framework for examining and comprehending the phenomenon that criminal behaviour is (Akers, 2013). These theories provide explanatory models that make an effort to elucidate the causes of criminal activities and the elements that motivate people to engage in such behavior.

The theoretical framework of criminology acts as the overarching framework that directs the creation of hypotheses pertaining to criminology, shapes research questions relating to criminology, and provides a prism through which to view empirical data concerning criminal behaviour. It offers a path for investigating the connections between factors, and the mechanisms underlying criminal behaviour. Criminologists can gain a thorough grasp of the complex processes that underpin criminal behaviour by integrating different theoretical viewpoints.

Contrariwise, the conceptual framework of criminology serves as the building blocks around which a criminology-related research study is built (Straus, 1985). In order to give a clear framework for the inquiry, it systematically defines the important ideas, variables, and their interactions. The conceptual framework of criminology highlights the fundamental components of the study; such as the variables influencing criminal behaviour, potential relationships between them, and the channels by which they interact. Consider the conceptual framework of criminology as the map that depicts the area of criminology. It establishes the parameters of such investigation, decides which variables will be taken into account, and shows how these variables are related logically. In order to keep the research focused, coherent, and in line with the overarching theoretical approach, this framework under discussion is an essential tool for developing research techniques, gathering data, and analyzing findings.

A firm understanding of these important words provides the tools needed to negotiate the complicated subtleties of criminal behaviour. These concepts act as the compass and road map for such an investigation, enabling one to find the unseen threads that intertwine to create the tapestry of criminological understanding.

1.3.2 Purpose of Construct

In criminology research, the creation of theoretical and conceptual frameworks is an essential task with broad ramifications. These frameworks serve as compasses as one navigates the complex world of criminal behaviour; they are more than just academic concepts. The goal and relevance of creating these frameworks, as well as how they provide an organized method for comprehending, analyzing, and explaining criminal behavior, is detailed below.

1.3.3 Purpose of Theoretical Frameworks in Criminology: Navigating the Complex Terrain

Theoretical frameworks of criminal behaviour are at the centre of criminology study, and act as a compass to guide individuals through the vast and complex world of criminal behaviour. They work

as intellectual road maps for anyone, helping one to comprehend why people commit crimes, and what influences their decision-making. Two goals of theoretical frameworks pertaining to criminology are to provide thorough explanations for criminal behaviour and to produce testable hypotheses that serve as the foundation for empirical study. These theoretical frameworks synthesize scholarly observations of decades, ideas, and analyses, into cogent theories that aid in understanding the complex nature of crime. By underlining the social, psychological, economic and cultural elements that influence people's routes towards criminality, they offer one a lens through which to analyse human behaviour. Theoretical frameworks of criminology provide a structured foundation that enables academics to approach the complexity of criminal behaviour from a structured, knowledgeable and methodical standpoint.

1.3.4   *Significance of Conceptual Frameworks in Criminology: Organizing the Complex Puzzle*

The complexity of criminal behaviour in the field of criminology study necessitates a methodical approach to such complexity (Jones, 2013). Conceptual frameworks have been found to be useful in this situation. The job of a conceptual framework in relation to crime is to give the numerous factors, connections and interactions that influence criminal behaviour a structured organisation. Conceptual frameworks of criminology provide a foundation on which scholars might build their crime-related inquiries. They offer a clear path for the gathering, analysis, and interpretation of crime-related data by defining the key variables and their relationships. Their value is in helping researchers spot patterns, forecast outcomes, and understand the fundamental systems that underlie criminal behaviour. Conceptual frameworks of criminology, in essence, bring order to the chaos of crime-related variables, enabling academics to investigate and clarify the intricate interactions that result in criminal behaviour. The ideal compass and road map are the theoretical and conceptual frameworks of criminology. The ultimate investigations are giving meaning and direction by them, leading one through the maze of human behaviour. In order to understand the fundamental dynamics of criminal behaviour and the forces that interact to determine its direction, this paper reveals how these frameworks translate abstract theories into concrete insights.

2.   Discussion: Theoretical Foundations

As a subject of study, criminology is braided with various theoretical stances, each of which offers a different perspective through which one can comprehend the nuanced phenomenon of criminal behavior. These theoretical frameworks act as intellectual frameworks that illuminate the factors, dynamics, and causes, influencing people's decisions to do or refrain from criminal activities. Exploring these important theoretical criminology views contributes to comprehending criminal behaviour.

2.1   *Classical Theories: Rational Choices and Deterrence*

The traditional views, which contend that people commit crimes after carefully weighing the benefits and drawbacks, form the cornerstones of criminology. The foundation for this viewpoint was formed by the writings of Jeremy Bentham and Cesare Beccaria, who claimed that people measure the pleasures of crime against the chances of punishment. This article's central tenet is that criminal behaviour can be discouraged if the consequences could be worse than the advantages of committing the crime.

2.2   *Strain Theories: Societal Pressures and Anomie*

According to strain theories, which are supported by academics like Robert K. Merton, societal forces play a role in criminal behaviour. According to these views, people may turn to illegal behaviour as a

means of achieving their goals when they feel there is a mismatch between social ideals and the methods available to them. This viewpoint centres on the idea of "anomie," or a state of normlessness, which explains how societal imbalances can cause aberrant behaviour.

2.3 Social Learning Theories: Modeling and Imitation

The emphasis in the field of social learning theories, now, centres on how interaction and observation influence criminal behaviour. According to Albert Bandura's social learning theory, people pick up behaviours through watching and copying the behaviour of others. This viewpoint contends that exposure to criminal role models, or situations that support abnormal behaviour, can lead to the commission of criminal crimes. The fundamental premise is that social interactions and reward are the ways by which behaviour is learned.

2.4 Labeling Theory: Social Labels and Self-Fulfilling Prophecies

A unique viewpoint is provided by the labelling theory; which emphasises the importance of social labels in shaping one's behaviour. This viewpoint contends that those who are stigmatised as criminals or deviants by society may internalize these labels, creating a cycle of crime that becomes self-fulfilling. The fundamental idea is that societal responses to behaviour can reinforce and magnify criminal tendencies.

3. Theoretical Foundations: Illuminating the Path Ahead

As this paper traverses the theoretical landscape of criminology, these foundational perspectives give crucial information on the complex world of criminal behaviour. Each theory offers a unique insight into the motivations behind criminal behaviour, offering light on the complex variables that influence people's choices. Together, these theoretical frameworks provide a thorough understanding into the factors, influences, and complexity, that steer people towards or away from criminal behaviour.

3.1 Normativity Viewpoint (Part 1)

3.1.1 Bridging Theory and Reality

It is important to focus on actual examples and case studies that demonstrate how various theoretical frameworks within criminology can be used to comprehend and explain criminal behaviour in order to close the gap between theoretical notions and their practical ramifications.

Example 1: Classical Theories in Action

Imagine a situation where a person is debating whether to steal from a store. It is possible to use traditional theories, based on deterrence and rational choice, to comprehend this decision-making process. The person analyzes the prospective benefits, such as receiving a desired object, against the potential drawbacks, such as being arrested and prosecuted. The person may choose to commit the crime if he or she believes the benefits exceed the costs. This illustration shows how traditional theories offer a foundation for comprehending criminal behaviour as a process of reasoned decision-making.

Example 2: Strain Theories and Deviant Coping Mechanisms

A case study about a young person from a low-income family is ideal to consider. To understand their behaviour, strain theories, such as Merton's theory of anomie, can be used. The individual may use abnormal coping mechanisms, such as engaging in drug trafficking or theft, as a means to amass monetary wealth; when faced with a lack of access to legitimate means of achievement and societal goals. This is an example of how strain theories can shed light on the means by which societal

pressures might cause people to stray from accepted norms.

Example 3: Social Learning and Gang Involvement

Social learning theories are applied in an actual gang involvement scenario; such as a young person growing in a neighbourhood where gang activity is common. They may pick up criminal behaviours from models and peers, and use them as a means of gaining respect and authority. Social learning theories explain how social interactions and observational learning can lead to criminal behaviour.

Example 4: Labeling Theory and Recidivism

It is worthy to look at a person who has been arrested and given the label of "criminal" to investigate the practical ramifications of the labelling theory. After being released from prison, the label could become internalized and have an impact on how the individual perceives themselves and relates to others. This internalization could result in a self-fulfilling prophecy that motivates the person to commit additional crimes as a result of the stigma attached to their designation. This illustration highlights the implications of labeling theory in shedding light on how societal responses continue to support criminal behavior.

3.2 Conclusion: From Theory to Reality

These case studies and real-world examples demonstrate the enormous influence that theoretical frameworks have on one's comprehension of criminal behaviour. One gains understanding of the subtleties of human actions, motivations, and societal effects, by applying these frameworks to real-world scenarios. These real-world examples show the value of theoretical viewpoints in criminology research, and how they are essential tools for understanding the intricate web of criminal behaviour. These examples serve as a reminder that theory is not limited to academic discourse, as the article reviews the literature on criminology; it has the capacity to shed light on the realities that influence one's knowledge of crime and its causes.

4. Positivity Viewpoint (Part 2)

4.1 Elements of Conceptual Frameworks in Criminology: Constructing the Blueprint

Building a conceptual framework is a crucial step in directing an investigation of criminal behaviour in the field of criminology study. This framework serves as the template for investigation, outlining crucial elements, connections and dependencies, as far as criminal behaviour is concerned. Below is how to break down a conceptual framework's components pertaining to crime; in order to see how it acts as a framework for such research projects.

4.2 Identifying Key Crime-Related Variables: Mapping the Terrain

The determination of important crime-related variables is at the heart of a conceptual framework relating to criminal behaviour. These variables stand for the foundation of the research in discussion - factors, characteristics, or phenomena, that are essential to our comprehension of criminal behaviour. They include personal characteristics, interpersonal dynamics, external influences, and more. By identifying these factors, one could produce a thorough map that directs his or her research of the intricate criminology landscape.

4.3 Establishing Relationships: Connecting the Dots

The core of a conceptual framework pertaining to criminal behaviour is the interaction of crime-related variables. Relationships, which might be interactive, causal or correlational, aid in understanding how various factors influence and interact with one another. Are there factors, thus,

that can predict criminal behaviour? Does the existence of one variable affect how another is affected by it? A clearer knowledge of the mechanisms at work, and how they lead to criminal behaviour, can be developed; thanks to these links.

4.4   Formulating Research Questions: Guiding the Quest

The study in discussion is organised by a conceptual framework of criminal behaviour; which also informs the questions to be answered. One can develop research questions that delve into the mechanics of criminal behaviour by identifying significant variables and linkages. These inquiries naturally follow from the framework, and lead an individual to understandings that clarify the intricacies of crime. They act as a compass to keep an investigation focused, and in line with the theoretical stances that guide the research.

4.5   Reviewing Literature and Identifying Gaps: Building on the Past

The creation of a conceptual framework in criminology necessitates a thorough analysis of the body of crime-related literature. For the purpose of understanding the body of existing knowledge in the topic, this procedure entails digging deep into earlier research, theories and studies. One could spot holes in the crime-related material as it is read, as well as places where one's knowledge is lacking or where more research is required. These gaps turn into the unexplored regions that one's conceptual framework of criminal behaviour aims to investigate.

4.6   Conclusion: Navigating the Criminology Research Landscape

Any conceptual framework in criminology acts as compass and guide, as it travels through the complex world of criminology research. One can be provided with a methodical path as a guide through the maze of criminal behaviour by identifying important crime-related variables, establishing their linkages, and developing associated research questions. This approach is a complex dance between theory and empirical research; a dance that makes it possible to find the unspoken links between factors and the underlying processes that influence criminal behaviour. An individual could obtain clarity, direction, and purpose, from the framework's structure; which enables us to understand the nuances of criminal behaviour and its complicated roots.

5.   Integration of Disciplines: Weaving a Multidimensional Tapestry

By its very nature, criminology is a topic that brings together ideas from other academic fields to construct a multifaceted picture of how criminal behaviour works. The foundation for a thorough understanding of crime is the interdisciplinary approach, and it is inside this complex web that theoretical and conceptual frameworks gain their strength. The study looks at how these frameworks integrate knowledge from several disciplines, including sociology, psychology, economics and law, to produce a comprehensive explanation of criminal behaviour.

5.1   Sociology: Unveiling Societal Influences

Criminal justice benefits from sociology's understanding of how societal relationships, norms, and structures, influence behaviour (Rock, 2017). Sociological ideas, like social disorganisation, social control and social learning, are frequently incorporated into theoretical frameworks in criminology. Criminologists learn more about the processes that can result in criminal behaviour by taking into account how people interact with their surroundings and society as a whole. Sociological viewpoints put things in perspective by emphasising how communities, neighbourhoods and social structures influence people's decisions.

5.2 Psychology: Probing the Mind's Complexities

The complexities of human cognition, emotion and behaviour are explored in psychology (Jones, 2015). Criminological theoretical frameworks draw on psychological ideas as personality traits, cognitive functions, and psychological disorders. These frameworks provide a deeper understanding of the individual-level characteristics that underpin criminal activities by looking at the psychological elements which impact criminal behaviour, such as impulsivity, psychopathy, or peer pressure. Understanding the complexity of motivation, decision making, and behaviour, is made easier by integrating psychology.

5.3 Economics: Analyzing Rational Choices

According to Sato (2013), economics adds the idea of rational decision making to criminology. Theoretical frameworks in criminology are based on economic concepts like rational choice theory and cost-benefit analysis. Criminal psychologists investigate how people weigh the possible benefits and costs of committing crimes by taking into account the economic incentives and disincentives that affect criminal behaviour. This multidisciplinary viewpoint clarifies the importance of incentives in deterring criminal behaviour, as well as the economic elements that influence criminal decisions.

5.4 Law: Navigating the Legal Landscape

According to Clarke and Cornish (2017), the legal system offers the foundation for defining, policing and dealing with illegal behaviour. Criminal law, sentencing guidelines, and legal deterrence, are all examples of legal notions with which theoretical frameworks in criminology frequently interact. Researchers can investigate how the legal system responds to illegal activities, and how it affects people's decisions to engage in or abstain from criminal behaviour by combining criminological insights with legal reality. The grasp of how law and behaviour interact is deepened by this combination.

5.5 Conclusion: A Harmonious Melange of Insights

The elegance of criminology resides in its capacity to seamlessly combine sociological, psychological, economic and legal ideas. One is able to investigate criminal behaviour from several perspectives, thanks to theoretical and conceptual frameworks that act as links between different disciplines. By simultaneously taking into consideration human, societal, economic and legal issues, this multidimensional approach enriches our understanding of crime. These intertwining interdisciplinary threads create a rich and sophisticated tapestry that sheds light on the complexity of criminal behaviour, and offers perspectives that are as varied as the influences themselves.

6. Challenges and Limitations

Although theoretical and conceptual frameworks are essential resources for criminology study, they are not without difficulties and restrictions. These difficulties bring to light the complexity of navigating the maze of criminal behaviour and the requirement for careful thought when developing these frameworks. The discussion of some of the challenges, that researchers face, is included below.

6.1 Bias: Navigating the Subjective Currents

The possibility for bias to affect the choice and interpretation of variables is one of the difficulties in developing frameworks. Frameworks can be gradually influenced by researchers' own viewpoints, societal prejudices, and cultural influences. To ensure that the resulting frameworks provide

unbiased insights into criminal behaviour, it is essential to acknowledge and minimise these biases. This assignment highlights the importance of self-awareness and careful analysis of the underlying premises of the selected ideas.

6.2 Ethical Considerations: Treading the Moral Terrain

When researching delicate issues related to criminal behaviour, ethics play a significant role in criminology research. Building frameworks involving human subjects, especially prisoners or victims, necessitates significant ethical consideration. It is crucial to strike a balance between furthering knowledge and upholding participants' rights and well-being. When examining the ramifications of research, particularly, when they have the potential to reinforce stereotypes or stigmatisation, ethical quandaries can arise.

6.3 Dynamic Nature of the Field: Embracing Fluidity

Criminology is not a static field; it changes as society does, as new information comes to light, and as our understanding grows. The field is dynamic, which makes it difficult to build frameworks that will stand the test of time. Concepts, that were formerly thought to be fundamental, may need to be revised as fresh viewpoints develop. The difficulty lies in creating frameworks that can be modified to take into account new information, while preserving their coherence and structure.

6.4 Complexity of Interactions: Untangling the Threads

Criminal behaviour is the result of a complex interplay of many different causes, each of which influences and intricately interacts with others. It can be difficult to create frameworks that appropriately depict these complex relationships. Oversimplification can result in a misunderstood concept, while excessive complexity might make it challenging to use the framework in real-world situations. Finding the ideal balance between simplicity and thoroughness is a persistent difficulty in the industry.

6.5 Conclusion: Navigating the Challenges

In criminology research, developing theoretical and conceptual frameworks is a complex process that necessitates careful evaluation of difficulties and constraints. The path of the researcher includes dealing with biases, addressing ethical issues, accepting the field's dynamic nature, and sorting out the intricate interactions. Researchers may create frameworks that are strong, accountable, and indicative of the full complexity of criminal behaviour by publicly admitting and addressing these issues. It is crucial to keep in mind that every obstacle to conquer, as one makes his or her way through the maze of criminology, expands one's knowledge, and leads one to deeper discoveries.

7. Normativity ViewPoint (Part 2)

7.1 Case Studies and Examples: Illuminating the PoIr of Frameworks

Case studies, historical examples, and real-world studies, can bring theoretical and conceptual frameworks for criminology research to life (Siegel, 2015). These examples highlight how these frameworks have a transformative effect on comprehending criminal behaviour and its underlying intricacies. The study examines some strong instances that demonstrate how effective frameworks are in practise.

7.2   Case Study 1: Strain Theory and Juvenile Delinquency

Levesque (2020) used the strain theory to investigate the connection between economic stress and juvenile criminality. Three important characteristics were discovered by the framework: delinquent behaviour, unpleasant emotions, and economic deprivation. According to the study, when teenagers face financial hardship, they experience unpleasant emotions which raises their propensity to resort to delinquent behaviour as a coping mechanism. This case study demonstrates how the conceptual underpinnings of strain theory shed light on the complex relationships between monetary factors, feelings, and criminal behaviour.

7.3   Case Study 2: Rational Choice Theory and White-Collar Crime

Eaton and Korach (2016) looked at how rational choice theory might be used to combat white-collar crime. The framework includes elements like individual rationality, perceived rewards, and hazards. According to Sutherland's research, people commit white-collar crimes when they think the advantages outweigh the risks. This case study serves as an illustration of how rational choice theory can be used as a lens to comprehend the calculations and choices that underlie white-collar criminal behaviour.

7.4   Historical Example: Labeling Theory and Stigmatization

The "Broken Windows" idea of the 1980s provides a historical example that highlights the effectiveness of labelling theory (Ren, Zhao, & Luo, 2022). According to this theory, neighbourhoods with obvious symptoms of chaos and neglect experienced an upsurge in criminal activity. According to the conceptual framework, residents of locations labelled as unkempt and disorderly are more prone to commit crimes, since the labelling reinforces ideas of lawlessness. Adopting this notion resulted in policing techniques, emphasising dealing with minor offences to stop the development of criminal behaviour.

7.5   Real-World Study: Social Learning and Cyberbullying

In a recent study, Hinduja and Patchin (2013) used the social learning theory to look at adolescent cyberbullying. The framework included elements like peer pressure, reinforcement, and exposure to cyberbullying. According to the study, teens who witness cyberbullying are more likely to engage in the same behaviour because they imitate and reinforce what they see. This real-world study demonstrates how the framework of social learning theory explains the means by which deviant behaviours are acquired and replicated.

7.6   Conclusion: Showcasing Frameworks in Action

Together, these case studies, historical illustrations, and studies from real-world situations, highlight the practical use of theoretical and conceptual frameworks in criminology research. Researchers can better comprehend criminal behaviour by using these frameworks to analyse real-world situations, as well as learn more about the complex systems that underlie it. These instances act as beacons, exposing the value of frameworks for directing research, influencing policy, and ultimately advancing the comprehension of the complex world of criminal behaviour.

8. Conclusion: Reviewing the Theoretical and Conceptual Frameworks in Criminology Research

The paper reviewed the transformational effect of theoretical and conceptual frameworks on the intricate trip through the realm of criminology research. The complex motivations, influences, and dynamics, that steer people towards or away from criminal behaviour, are illuminated by these intellectual compass points, as it leads one through the maze-like complexity of criminal behaviour. A summary of the key elements and an explanation of the significance of these frameworks, in the search of comprehension and intervention, are provided below.

8.1 Guiding Light of Frameworks: A Recap

The paper reviewed the nature of theoretical and conceptual frameworks positively and normatively. Broad perspectives, traditional theories, strain theories, social learning theories, and more explanations of why criminal behaviour occurs, have been provided; thanks to theoretical frameworks. These theories, which rely on a variety of academic fields, were found to provide glasses through which to view the subtleties of decision making, societal constraints, and psychological factors that drive criminal behaviour. Conceptual frameworks have also been found to serve as scaffolds that organize research. These frameworks were found to ensure that possible enquiries stay coherent and narrowly focused by identifying important factors, developing linkages, and formulating research questions. They were discovered to turn abstract theoretical ideas into concrete research plans, giving one direction and purpose, as they lead one through the maze.

8.2 Enhancing Understanding and Interventions

It is impossible to exaggerate the value of theoretical and conceptual frameworks in criminology research. By addressing issues, like individual motives and societal constraints, these frameworks let an individual delve deeper into the causes of criminal behaviour. They help one to navigate the complexities of bias, ethical issues, and the changing nature of the field. It is important to make sure that related research is based on rigour and integrity by recognising and resolving these difficulties. The ability of these frameworks to advance understanding and to motivate successful solutions, however, is where their true essence lies. They reveal the processes that result in criminal behaviour by illuminating the obscure connections between many factors. With this information, governments can create focused interventions that deal with the underlying causes of crime. Theoretical and conceptual frameworks of criminology lay the foundation for significant change, whether it be in the design of educational efforts, the reform of legal systems, or the implementation of community activities.

8.3 A Final Unveiling of Insights

One can emerge from the maze of theoretical and conceptual frameworks with new knowledge about criminal behaviour, as well as a greater understanding of the intricacies of the topic. By utilising the analytical resources offered by these frameworks, one may travel with purpose and meaning, solving the puzzles around criminal behaviour, and paving the way for a safer, more equitable society. The research is still being guided by theoretical viewpoints and organised frameworks, illuminating the path to a time when the complex nature of criminal behaviour is appreciably comprehended.

References

Akers, R. L. (2013). Criminological theories: Introduction and evaluation. Routledge.
Anfara, V. A., & Mertz, N. T. (2015). Theoretical frameworks in qualitative research (2nd ed.). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.

Bandura, A., & Walters, R. H. (1977). Social learning theory (Vol. 1). Prentice Hall: Englewood cliffs.

Berlin, I. (1953). The hedgehog and the fox: An essay on Tolstoy's view of history. London: Weidenfeld & Nicolson

Blumer, H. (2004). George Herbert Mead and human conduct. Rowman Altamira.

Brondizo, E., Leemans, R., & Solecki, W. (2014). Current opinion in environmental sustainability. Texas, U. S. A.: Elsevier Press Inc.

Camp, W. G. (2001). Formulating and evaluating theoretical frameworks for career and technical education research. Journal of Vocational Educational Research, 26(1), 27-39.

Clarke, R. V., & Cornish, D. B. (2017). Modeling offenders' decisions: A framework for research and policy. In Crime Opportunity Theories (pp. 157-195). Routledge.

Collins, C. S., & Stockton, C. M. (2018). The central role of theory in qualitative. International Journal of Qualitative Methods, 17, 1-10.

Deflem, M. (2017). Anomie, strain, and opportunity structure: Robert K. Merton's paradigm of deviant behavior. The Handbook of the History and Philosophy of Criminology, 140-155.

Dixon, J., Gulliver, A., Gibbon, D., & Hall, M. (2001). Farming systems and poverty: Improving farmers' livelihoods in a changing world. Washington, DC: World Bank.

Eaton, T. V., & Korach, S. (2016). A criminological profile of white-collar crime. Journal of Applied Business Research (JABR), 32(1), 129-142.

Fulton, S., & Krainovich-Miller, B. (2010). Gathering and Appraising the Literature. In LoBiondo-Wood, G., & Haber, J. (Eds). Nursing research: Methods and critical appraisal for evidence-based practice (7th ed.). St. Louis MO: Mosby Elsevier.

Grant, C., & Osanloo, A. (2014). Understanding, selecting, and integrating a theoretical framework in dissertation research: Creating the blueprint for house. Administrative Issues Journal: Connecting Education, Practice and Research, 12-22.

Helfgott, J. B. (2008). Criminal behavior: Theories, typologies and criminal justice. Sage.

Hinduja, S., & Patchin, J. W. (2013). Social influences on cyberbullying behaviors among middle and high school students. Journal of youth and adolescence, 42, 711-722.

Imenda, S. (2014). Is there a conceptual difference between conceptual and theoretical frameworks? Journal of Social Science, 38(2), 185-195

Jones, D. (2013). Understanding criminal behaviour: Psychosocial approaches to criminality. Willan.

Jones, S. (2015). Psychological theories of crime. The Encyclopedia of Crime and Punishment, 1-9.

Liehr, P., & Smith M. J. (2009). Middle range theory: Spinning research and practice to create knowledge for the new millennium. Advances in Nursing Science, 21(4), 81-91.

Levesque, M. (2020). Juvenile Delinquency and General Strain Theory (Doctoral dissertation).

Luse, A., Mennecke, B., & Townsend, A. (2012). Selecting a research topic: A framework for doctoral students. International Journal of Doctoral Studies, 7, 143-152.

Maxwell, J. A. (2013). Qualitative research design: An interactive approach. Los Angeles, CA: Sage.

Mensah, R.O., Agyemang, F., Acquah, A., Babah, P.A & Dontoh, J. (2020). Discourses on Conceptual and Theoretical Frameworks in Research: Meaning and Implications for Researchers. Journal of African Interdisciplinary Studies, 4(5), 53 – 64.

Miles, M.B., Huberman, A.M., & Saldana, J. (2014). Qualitative data analysis: A methods sourcebook (3rd ed.). Thousand Oaks, CA: SAGE.

Nirvaan, S., & Goel, N. (2018). A comprehensive study of the classical school of criminology. International journal of academic research and development, 3(1), 1-6.

Peshkin, A. (2003). The goodness of qualitative research. Educational Researcher, 22(2), 23-29.

Ren, L., Zhao, J., & Luo, F. (2022). In search of public perceptions of disorder and crime: examining the core tenets of broken windows theory. International journal of offender therapy and comparative criminology, 0306624X221124856.

Rock, P. (2017). The foundations of sociological theories of crime. The Oxford handbook of criminology, 21.

Sato, Y. (2013). Rational choice theory. Sociopedia. isa, 2013, 1-10.

Siegel, L. J. (2015). Criminology: Theories, patterns, and typologies. Cengage Learning.

Straus, M. A. (1985). Conceptual Framework for Understanding Crime and the Family (From Crime and the Family, P 5-23, 1985, by Alan J Lincoln and Murray A Straus-See NCJ-98873).

Swanson, R. A. (2013). Theory building in applied disciplines. San Francisco, CA: Berrett-Koehler.